



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/616,718	07/14/2000	Bert L. Vallee	11187-00001	5959
22910	7590 01/10/2005		EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.			DELACROIX MUIRHEI, CYBILLE	
28 STATE S 28th FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, N	/A 02109-9601		1614	
			DATE MAILED: 01/10/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 a 11 41 b1-	A 11 (/)			
	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments		09/616,718	VALLEE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
		Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid	1614			
۔ Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc address			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sick (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep- period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutionally received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 S</u>	September 2004.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6 6)⊠ 6 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6 and 13-15</u> is/are pending in the late of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>3 and 13</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>5,6,14 and 15</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.				
Application	on Papers					
10) ⊠ 1	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on 14 July 2000 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[;	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document according to the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureate the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment((s)					
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate : ratent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/616,718

Art Unit: 1614

Detailed Action

The following is responsive to Applicant's amendment received Sep. 7, 2004.

Claim 4, 7-12 are cancelled. No new claims are added. Claims 1-3, 5-6, 13-15 are currently pending.

The previous rejection of claims 3, 5-6 under 35 USC 103(a) over Vallee et al., 5,204,369 or Vallee et al., 5,624,910, set forth in the office action mailed Nov. 20, 2002 and maintained in the office action mailed Aug. 28, 2003, **is withdrawn** in view of Applicant's amendment and the remarks contained therein.

The indication of allowability of claims 13-15 in the office action mailed Aug. 28, 2003 is withdrawn in view of the following new ground(s) of rejection. Claims 1-2 remain free from the prior art.

New Ground(s) of Rejection

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claims 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 3 and 13 are drawn to methods for increasing a concentration of an aldehyde formed during catabolism of a neurotransmitter for ultimately reducing alcohol

Application/Control Number: 09/616,718

Art Unit: 1614

consumption in a human by administering to the human an effective amount of a compound represented by Formula (I). The claimed methods fail to meet the requirement for an adequate written description of the claimed invention as required by 35 USC 112, paragraph 1. There is insufficient descriptive support for the generic limitation "an aldehyde formed during the catabolism of a neurotransmitter", which includes any aldehyde compound formed during the catabolism of any neurotransmitter. Other than the neurotransmitters dopamine and serotonin and the aldehyde compounds 5-HIAL and DOPAL disclosed at page 8, lines 10-12, page 9, lines 1-4, page 10, lines 8-25, and page 16, line 27 to page 17, line 6 of the specification, there is no evidence, which indicates that other neurotransmitter and aldehyde compounds were known to Applicant. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would not have concluded that Applicant was in possession of the methods as claimed.

2. Claims 5-6 and 14-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Claims 3 and 13 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid** whose telephone number is **571-272-0572**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs. from 8:30 to 6:00 as well as every other Friday from 9:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/616,718 Page 4

Art Unit: 1614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Christopher Low**, can be reached on **571-272-0951**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CDM \(\sqrt{1}\) Jan. 5, 2005

PHYLLIS SPIVACK

Phyllis Spirack